UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Examiner: Alsomiri, Isam A. Art Unit: 3662 Docket No. 3776

In re:

Applicant: HAASE, BJOERN

Serial No.: 10/593,595

Filed: September 21, 2006

LETTER

October 28, 2009

Commissioner for Patents P O Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In this application a Notification of Non-Complaint Appeal Brief has been received.

The Examiner indicated that the limitation "in which it is possible to switch back and forth" is not shown in the reference portion of the specification or the drawings.

In connection with this, Appellant's amplified the section "Summary of Claimed Subject Matter" with respect to Claim 11.

It is respectfully submitted that the limitation, "in which it is possible to

switch back and forth" is fully disclosed on page 5 in lines 10-14, on page 5 in lines 30-

31 through page 10, lines 1-4, and also in the paragraph bridging pages 14 and 15. As

for the illustration in the drawings, Figures 1 and 2 clearly show the means for the face

measurement method and the means for the triangulation method, while the means for switching back and forth between these methods can be for example to control a

program, as explained in line 2 on page 15. The control program is not shown in

Figures 1 and 2 which illustrate the construction, and it is believed that the program

does not have to be shown in the drawings in Appellant's opinion.

Acceptance and consideration of the Brief on Appeal is most respectfully

requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael J. Striker

Attorney for Applicant

Reg. No. 27233

2

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Examiner: Alsomiri, Isam A. Art Unit: 3662 Docket No. 3776

In re:

Applicant: HAASE, BJOERN

Serial No.: 10/593,595

Filed: September 21, 2006

BRIEF ON APPEAL

October 29, 2009

Commissioner for Patents P O Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Examiner.

This is a Brief on Appeal from the rejection of Claims 1-13 by the primary

REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest in this application is Robert Bosch GmbH, having a business address of Postfach 30 02 20, D-70442 Stuttgart, Germany.

RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no prior and pending appeals, interferences or judicial proceedings known to appellant, the appellant's legal representative, or assignee which may be related to, directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

STATUS OF CLAIMS

In the present application there are Claims 1-14.

The claims were rejected by the Examiner in the final Office Action.

Claims 1-14 are the claims which are appealed from the final rejection by the Examiner.

STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

In this application the final Office Action was issued on January 15, 2009.

Subsequently to the final Office Action, no amendments have been filed.

SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

Claim 1, the broadest claim on file, devices the device for optical distance measurement, in particular a device functioning in accordance with the phase measurement principle as disclosed for example on page 4 in lines 11-21 of the specification and shown in Figures 1 and 2.

The device in accordance with the present invention has at least one transmission unit (12) which is equipped with at least one light source (22, 24) for transmitting modulated optical measurement radiation (16) toward a target object (20). This is disclosed on page 7, in lines 10-20 and on page 9, in lines 7-8 and shown in Figures 1 and 2.

The device further has a reception unit (18) for receiving the optical measurement radiation returning from the target object (20). This is disclosed on page 8 in lines 25-26 and on page 9, in lines 9-12 and shown in Figures 1 and 2.

The device in accordance with the present invention further has means (51, 53, 55, 68) that enable a measurement of distances between the device and a target object (20') by means of a triangulation method. This is disclosed in the paragraph bridging pages 9 and 10 of the specification as shown in Figure 1, and also on page 12 in lines 16-20 and shown in Figure 2, correspondingly.

Claim 11 defines a method for optical distance measurement in which it is possible to switch back and forth between a phase measurement method for determining a distance of a distance measuring device from a target object (20, 20') and a triangulation method for determining this distance.

These features are disclosed for example on page 5 in lines 10-14, on page 9 in lines 30-31 through page 10, lines 1-4, and in the paragraph bridging pages 14 and 15. Figures 1 and 2 disclose corresponding means for the phase measurement method and for the triangulation method, while the means for switching back and forth between these methods can be for example a control program (page 15, line 2) which is not and does not have to be shown in the drawings in Appellants' opinion.

GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

In the final Office Action Claims 1-3, 6-7, 9 and 11-14 were rejected under 35 USC 102(b) over the U.S. patent publication to Burlingham et al or the British patent document to Born et al.

The first ground of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is therefore whether the above listed Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 9 and 11-14 can be considered as anticipated by the Burlingham reference or Born reference.

In the Final Action Claims 4, 5, 8 and 10 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Burlingham reference or the Born reference.

The second ground of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is whether Claims 4, 5, 8 and 10 can be considered as being unpatentable under 35 USC 103(a) over the Burlingham reference or the Born reference.

ARGUMENT

Argument related to first ground of rejection to be reviewed on appeal.

Claims 1 and 11 and their rejection over the Burlingham reference.

In the Office Action the Examiner indicated that in his opinion the Burlingham reference disclosed a device for optical distance measurement. Moreover, the Examiner stated that in the Burlingham reference, in particular in paragraph 19, it is stated that the distance measurement can be performed for example by an optical triangulation or a phase difference measurement or a time-of-flight measurement, wherein such a distance measurement is correlated with an inclination or angle

Contrary to the Examiner's opinion, the Burlingham reference however does <u>not</u> disclose a device for optical distance measurement, which contains a light source for transmitting a <u>modulated</u> optical measurement radiation <u>and additionally</u> means for measuring distances by means of a triangulation method.

From the Burlingham reference a conclusion can be clearly made that the distance measurement is performed via optical triangulation or phase difference measurements or time-of-flight measurements.

A combination of these measurement principles is not disclosed in the Burlingham reference, contrary to the Examiner's opinion.

A distance measurement for the determination of the phase difference or the determination of the time-of-flight presupposes a modulated optical measurement signal. A distance measurement by means of optical triangulation requires no modulation of the optical measurement signal.

Claim 1 specifically defines a device for optical distance measurement, which transmits the modulated optical measurement radiation, which is suitable for a phase difference measurement and in addition has means, which make possible a measurement of distances via a triangulation method.

This combination of features is not disclosed in the Burlingham reference and cannot be considered as obvious from this reference. This reference clearly discloses that an optical triangulation can be used <u>or</u> phase difference method or a time-of-flight method can be used for distance measurement.

The device defined in Claim 1 and especially the method defined in Claim 11 make possible the combination or the alternative use of a phase method and a time-of-flight method with an optical triangulation method.

The patent to Burlingham does not disclose these features of the present invention.

It is therefore believed that the new features of the present invention as defined in Claims 1 and 11 are not disclosed in the Burlingham reference and cannot be derived from it as a matter of obviousness, and therefore the Examiner's rejection of the claims over this reference under 35 USC 102(b) should be considered as not tenable and should be withdrawn.

Claims 1 and 11 and their rejection over the Born reference.

The Born reference discloses a proximity fuse, in particular for armour piercing anti-tank missles, which has a proximity sensor (11) combined with a capacitative or magnetic sensor (12). As specifically stated in the Born reference, for example on page 11 starting from line 85, the optical sensor of the device disclosed in this reference determines the distance via the pulse time-of-flight or phase measurement of the reflected measuring radiation or a triangulation measurement.

The Born reference does not disclose a <u>triangulation measurement via a</u> <u>modulated optical measurement radiation.</u> In particular, the Born reference does not disclose a combination of a phase measurement with an optical triangulation measurement and does not contain anything which can make this combination obvious.

In contrast, the method in accordance with the present invention as defined in Claim 11 and the device in accordance with the present invention as defined in Claim 1, which carries out a distance measurement via a triangulation method by a modulated optical measurement radiation and is capable of doing so, performs distance

measurements both via a triangulation measurement and also via a phase difference measurement, and this is not disclosed in the Born reference and also cannot be derived from it as a matter of obviousness.

Claims 1 and 11 therefore should be considered as patentably distinguishing over the Born reference as well and should be allowed.

Second ground for rejection to be reviewed on appeal.

As for the rejection of Claims 4, 5, 8 and 10 under 35 USC 103(a) as

being unpatentable over the Burlingham reference or Born reference, these claims

depend on Claim 1, they share its allowable features, and it is respectfully submitted

that they should be allowed as well, together with Claim 1.

Reconsideration of the Examiner's rejection of the claims, its reversal, and

allowance of the claims in the present application is most respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Miohael J. Striker

Attorney for Applicant

Reg. No. 27233

13

CLAIM APPENDIX

- 1. (original) A device for optical distance measurement, in particular a device functioning in accordance with the phase measurement principle, having at least one transmission unit (12) equipped with at least one light source (22, 24) for transmitting modulated optical measurement radiation (16) toward a target object (20), and having a reception unit (18) for receiving the optical measurement radiation (17) returning from the target object (20), wherein the device has means (51, 53, 55, 68) that enable a measurement of distances between the device and a target object (20') by means of a triangulation method.
- 2. (original) The device as recited in claim 1, wherein the means include the light source (22, 24) of the transmission unit (12).
- (original) The device as recited in claim 1, wherein the means include at least one position-sensitive sensor (55).
- (original) The device as recited in claim 3, wherein the positionsensitive sensor (55) is a planar detector.
- (original) The device as recited in claim 3, wherein the positionsensitive sensor (55) is a linear detector.

- 6. (previously presented) The device as recited in claim 3, wherein the position-sensitive sensor (55) also has the capacity to be used for time delay measurement of the modulated measurement signal (16, 17, 17'), in particular for a phase measurement of the returning measurement signal (17).
- (original) The device as recited in claim 1, wherein the means (51, 53, 55, 68) include at least one set of projection optics (51).
- 8. (previously presented) The device as recited in claim 1, wherein the means (51, 53, 55, 68) include at least one circular aperture (53).
- 9. (previously presented) The device as recited in claim 1, wherein the device has at least one control and evaluation unit (58) for determining a distance of the device (10) from the target object (20, 20') based on the phase shift of the optical measurement radiation (17) returning from the target object (20).
- 10. (previously presented) The device as recited in claim 1, wherein the device (10) has at least one mechanical, slidable measurement stop (72, 74).
- 11. (original) A method for optical distance measurement in which it is possible to switch back and forth between a phase measurement method for determining a distance of a distance measuring device from a target object (20, 20') and a triangulation method for determining this distance.

- 12. (original) The method for optical distance measurement as recited in claim 10, wherein the same light source (22, 24) is used for the phase measurement method and the triangulation method.
- 13. (previously presented) The method for optical distance measurement as recited in claim 10, wherein the same modulated transmission measurement beam (16) is used for the phase measurement method and the triangulation method.
- 14. (previously presented) The method for optical distance measurement as recited in claim 10, wherein the same detector element (55) is used for the phase measurement method and the triangulation method.

EVIDENCE APPENDIX

None.

RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

None.